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Novel high-throughput cell-based hybridoma screening methodology using the Celigo Image Cytometer
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Abstract

Hybridoma screening is a critical step for antibody discovery, which necessitates prompt identification of potential clones from hundreds to thousands of hybridoma cultures against the desired immunogen. Technical issues associated with ELISA- and flow cytometry-based screening limit accuracy and diminish high-throughput capability, increasing time and cost. Conventional ELISA screening with coated antigen is also impractical for difficult-to-express hydrophobic membrane antigens or multi-chain protein complexes. Here, we demonstrate novel high-throughput screening methodology employing the Celigo Image Cytometer, which avoids nonspecific signals by contrasting antibody binding signals directly on living cells, with and without recombinant antigen expression. The image cytometry-based high-throughput screening method was optimized by detecting the binding of hybridoma supernatants to the recombinant antigen CD39 expressed on Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Next, the sensitivity of the image cytometer was demonstrated by serial dilution of purified CD39 antibody. Celigo was used to measure antibody affinities of commercial and in-house antibodies to membrane-bound CD39. This cell-based screening procedure can be completely accomplished within one day, significantly improving throughput and efficiency of hybridoma screening. Furthermore, measuring direct antibody binding to living cells eliminated both false positive and false negative hits. The image cytometry method was highly sensitive and versatile, and could detect positive antibody in supernatants at concentrations as low as ~5 ng/mL, with concurrent Kd binding affinity coefficient determination. We propose that this screening method will greatly facilitate antibody discovery and screening technologies.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Monoclonal antibodies (Mab) were first generated using the hybridoma technology over 4 decades ago (Kohler and Milstein, 1975). Mabs have been extensively used in many fields, such as clinical immunodiagnosis (Payne et al., 1988), food analysis, and environmental monitoring (Long et al., 2013). These reagents are not only useful tools for scientists to study an analyte of interest, but can also be powerful therapeutic agents for cancer (Sliwkowski and Mellman, 2013), bacterial (Casadevall et al., 2004), or viral diseases (Weltzin and Monath, 1999).

For example, antibody-based cancer immunotherapy has recently demonstrated initial success, albeit full embodiment of Mabs as a viable first-line cancer regimen requires much improvement in antibody qualities (Scott et al., 2012). This can be achieved, at least in part, by performing high-throughput antibody discovery screening. For Mab discovery, the classic strategy is to generate hybridoma by fusing myeloma cells with spleen cells from immunized animals, and then screen for potential antigen-specific hybridoma clones. Even for antibodies obtained through display technologies (e.g., phage, yeast or mammalian cell display), a high-throughput screening method is the key for success. The most frequently used screening method is the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ELISA works well for aqueous antigens (e.g., cytokines, toxins, or simple soluble extracellular domains of cell surface receptors) that can be coated onto ELISA plates, but it has limitations in the following scenarios: 1) The target antigen is difficult to be recombinantly expressed due to membrane-tethered tertiary
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Hybridoma culture

All hybridoma cells were grown in high-glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Waltham, MA), 100 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and 2 mM glutamine (Gibco). Initially, hybridoma cells were maintained in 96-well plates, and when a positive antibody sample was identified, cells in the positive well were expanded in 24-well plates.

2.2. Preparation of CD39-expressing CHO cells

CHO cells expressing mouse CD39 were generated by transfecting “Toggle-In” CHO cells (Antagene Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Boston, MA) with full-length mouse CD39 cloned in pTOG3 vector (Antagene), and selected in Hygromycin B (InVivogen, 1 mg/mL) for one week. The pool was confirmed to be over 80% positive by staining with anti-CD39 Mab 5F2, a clone previously established in the lab. A pure CHO clone #10 was selected after subcloning and used in the subsequent assays. CD39-expressing CHO cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS, 100 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin, and 2 mM glutamine.

2.3. Cell-based hybridoma screening assay development

An initial CHO cell-based hybridoma screening experiment was performed to determine the optimal assay protocol. Specifically, CD39-expressing CHO cells were seeded in seven BD Falcon™ 96-well microplates at 8000 cells/well (100 μL) for every well and incubated overnight. Cells were then fixed with 100 μL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min. The plates were washed twice with 200 μL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) per well with PBS completely removed at the end, and this wash protocol was repeated throughout each experiment. Next, 672 unique hybridoma supernatant samples containing primary antibodies (60 μL) were pipetted into each well, incubated overnight at 4 °C, and washed twice. Subsequently, the secondary Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibodies labeled with AF488 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) at 100 μL (2.5 μg/mL) were pipetted into each well, incubated for 60 min at room temperature, and washed twice. Finally, cells were stained with 100 μL of 2 μg/mL of Hoechst for 30 min, and then washed once prior to Celigo image cytometric analysis.

2.4. Cell-based hybridoma screening with CFSE-labeled negative cells as control

CD39-expressing CHO cells were mixed 1:1 with CFSE-labeled wild type CHO cells and seeded in 96-well microplates (Greiner) at 8000 cells/well in 100 μL of medium and cultured overnight. After incubation, 50 μL of medium was replaced with 50 μL of original (six 96-well plates, 576 samples) or serially diluted (×2, 12 times, 12 positive samples) hybridoma supernatant samples in each well. After incubation for 60 min at 37 °C and two washes with PBS, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min and washed twice. Subsequently, 100 μL of secondary Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibodies labeled with AF594 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were pipetted into each well, incubated for 60 min at room temperature, and washed twice. Finally, cells were stained with 100 μL of 2 μg/mL of Hoechst for 30 min, and then washed once prior to Celigo image cytometric analysis.

2.5. Antibody binding affinity measurement using Celigo image cytometry

CD39-expressing CHO cells were seeded into two Greiner 96-well microplates at 8000 cells/well (100 μL) for every well and incubated overnight. After incubation, the in-house purified antibody (anti-mouse CD39 IgG2c) was added to wells A1–A3 and a negative control IgG (2-mAb™ IgG2c, AB Biosciences, Allston, MA) was added to wells A7–A9 at 100 μL for a final volume of 200 μL, gently mixed and serially diluted (n = 3). The plate map in Supplementary Table 1a showed the different concentrations of both antibodies after dilution on Plate 1. Similar procedure was conducted on Plate 2 for the commercial antibody (Supplementary Table 1b). The purified antibody and the negative control IgG were two-fold serially diluted from 500 μg/mL to 31 ng/mL, whereas the commercial antibody labeled with PE (5F2, eBioscience, San Diego, CA) was two-fold serially diluted from 40 μg/mL to 5 pg/mL. Both plates were then incubated for 60 min at 37 °C, and washed twice. Next, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 20 min and washed twice. For Plate 1, the secondary Donkey anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibody labeled with AF594 at 100 μL (2.5 μg/mL) was pipetted into each well, incubated for 60 min at room temperature, and washed twice. As the
commercial antibody in Plate 2 was already labeled with PE, it was not necessary to add the secondary antibodies. Finally, cells were stained with 100 μL of 2 μg/mL of Hoechst for 30 min, and then washed once prior to Celigo image cytometric analysis.

2.6. Flow cytometry and analysis

CHO cells were collected by EDTA-trypsin digestion, washed with PBS, incubated with hybridoma culture supernatants at 4 °C for 30 min. Cells were then washed with sorting buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% FBS) and stained with the secondary goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibodies labeled with AF594 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 30 min at 4 °C. Fluorescently labeled cells were washed and analyzed using the FACS caliber for flow cytometry-based analysis.

2.7. Celigo Image Cytometer instrumentation, data acquisition, and analysis

Previously, the same Celigo Image Cytometer instrument has been used in numerous high-throughput cell-based assays (Kessel et al., 2016; Chan et al., 2016; Vinci et al., 2012). Briefly, Celigo utilizes a transmission and epi-fluorescence optical setup for one bright-field (BF) and four fluorescence (FL) imaging channels (Blue, Green, Red, and Far Red) with high power LED to perform plate-based image cytometric analysis. Each FL imaging channel uses a specific fluorescence filter set for the corresponding colors: Blue (EX: 377/50 nm, EM: 470/22 nm), Green (EX: 483/32 nm, EM: 536/40 nm), Red (EX: 531/40 nm, EM: 629/53 nm), and Far Red (EX: 628/40 nm, EM: 688/31 nm). The proprietary imaging optics can rapidly capture highly uniform images of entire 96 wells on a standard microplate in less than 4 min in bright field. Celigo can perform auto-focusing in the well based on the contrast of the images or the thickness of the bottom surfaces.

The Celigo software allows for the selection of different applications to analyze antibody binding. The “Target + Mask” and “Target + 2 + Mask” applications are used to count the number of cells stained with Hoechst in the Mask channel and those with fluorescence labels Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488), Alexa Fluor 594 (AF594), and R-phycocerythrin (PE) in the FL channels. The obtained fluorescence intensity results were exported to Excel and FCS Express 6 (De Novo Software, Glendale, CA) for further analysis of antibody binding to CD39-expressing CHO cells.

To perform Celigo image cytometry, sample plates with cells stained and fixed were loaded into the instrument, using preset SCAN and ANALYZE settings. For cells without CFSE labeling, Celigo was set up to acquire images in the Target 1 (Green – AF488) and Mask (Blue–Hoechst) fluorescence channels, and the exposure times were 550,000 and 500,000 μs, respectively. For cells labeled with CFSE, Celigo was set up to acquire images in the Target 1 (Red – AF594), Target 2 (Green – CFSE), and Mask (Blue–Hoechst) fluorescence channels, and the exposure times were 300,000, 350,000, and 500,000 μs, respectively. To detect weak fluorescence signals with highly diluted staining antibodies for binding affinity assessment, Celigo was set up to acquire images in the Target 1 (Red – AF594 or PE) and Mask (Blue–Hoechst) fluorescence channels, and the exposure times were 400,000 (AF594), 800,000 (PE), and 500,000 μs, respectively.

After setting the fluorescence channels and exposure times, hardware-based autofocus was selected to focus cells in the Hoechst channel, where focus offsets were also set up for the Green (±0.025) and Red (±0.010) channels. The sample wells were then selected in the software for image acquisition.

After image acquisition, the preset ANALYZE parameters were used to first identify the Hoechst-stained cells as Mask. The Mask was then applied to the Green and Red fluorescence channels to measure the mean fluorescence intensities for each sample, which were used to determine the level of antibody binding to cells. For cells without CFSE labeling, the AF488 mean fluorescence intensity data were exported into Excel for analysis. The captured fluorescent images of each sample was visually examined and compared to the mean fluorescence intensity values. We noted that the images having a value less than “3” show no binding with low background. As such we empirically use the arbitrary value of 3 as a cut-off point to distinguish between hits and false positives.
non-binders, in order to give some guidance to Celigo users. That is, antibodies with values greater than 3 R.U. were considered as hits for the screen. The fluorescence data were also plotted in a histogram using FCS Express to show the changes in fluorescence intensities based on the amount of antibody binding (Supplementary Fig. 1a). For cells labeled with CFSE, the AF594 and CFSE mean fluorescence intensity data were exported into FCS Express and graphed in a scatter plot of CFSE in respect to AF594 (Supplementary Fig. 1b). A gate was created to measure only the CFSE negative CHO cell population. The mean fluorescence intensities were then batch analyzed and exported into

Fig. 2. Example fluorescence images and intensity histograms of AF594-CHO at high, medium, low and no binding signals for image and flow cytometers. The optimized binding results validated that all the positive hits from image cytometry were also positive hits on flow cytometry. In addition, wild type CHO cells stained with CFSE did not show AF594 signals, which is indicative of no nonspecific binding.
Fig. 3. Example fluorescence images and intensity scatter plots for AF594 and CFSE at different dilutions of the primary antibodies. CD39-expressing CHO cell population showed decrease in fluorescence signals as the dilution factor increased.

Fig. 4. Dilution-dependent binding signal results for 12 positive hybridoma subclones, which showed high binding for Antibody 2–8, and 10–11, medium binding for Antibody 9, and low binding for Antibody 1 and 12.
Excel to determine the level of antibody binding. In addition, the mean fluorescence intensities in the antibody dilution series experiment were plotted in respect to the dilution factors. To detect weak fluorescence signals in the captured fluorescent images (Supplementary Fig. 1c) with highly diluted staining antibodies for binding affinity assessment, the AF594 mean fluorescence intensity data were exported into Excel and plotted in Graphpad Prism to determine the $K_d$ antibody affinity coefficient.

### 3. Results and discussion

We initially stained CD39-expressing CHO cells after PFA fixation with hybridoma supernatants and further detected with AF488-labeled secondary antibody. Out of the total 672 supernatant samples screened, 65 had AF488 mean fluorescence intensity values greater than 3 and were empirically identified as positive from the screening (Supplementary Fig. 2; and more details can be found in Materials and methods). However, flow cytometry failed to confirm any positivity, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 3, where the target sample did not induce an intensity shift. Therefore, such false positive signals could be due to non-specific staining on cells that have been previously fixed (Whittaker et al., 1985).

In order to avoid the non-specificity problem, in subsequent experiments we performed PFA fixation only after staining live cells with the primary antibody. Moreover, a 1:1 co-culture of unlabeled CD39-expressing CHO cells with CFSE-labeled wild type CHO cells were

---

conducted, where the latter cells served as internal negative controls to distinguish nonspecific antibody binding (Supplementary Fig. 1b). When performing the image cytometric analysis, a gate on CFSE-negative cells was created and all signals were contrasted with those on CFSE-positive cells in the same wells. Such improvement essentially reduced 50% of plates, reagents, and time required for unequivocal positive staining. The use of AF594-labeled secondary antibody also improved the signal-to-background ratio.

Using this optimized protocol, we identified 16 samples out of six plates of hybridoma supernatants (576 samples) containing various titers of anti-CD39 antibody (P1: B2, C1; P2: E5, H12; P3: A7; P4: A2, B5, C3, C5, D3, D12, H12; P5: C8; P6: B5, B6, G6), as shown in Fig. 1. Examples of fluorescence images of AF594-stained CHO cells are shown in Fig. 2. Fluorescence intensity results of high, medium, low, and no antibody binding for image and flow cytometry are also shown in Fig. 2, which confirmed correlation to flow cytometry results (Supplementary Fig. 4). Of note, one of the measured mean intensity value was approximately 1.55 R.U., which was slightly higher than other non-binding antibodies, but remained under the cutoff of 3 R.U. (Fig. 1). Further investigation into the captured fluorescent images indicated that this sample showed no binding but a slightly higher background. Thus we considered it as a “non binder”.

Of course, we still could not completely exclude the possibility that these 16 hybridoma clones are not CD39 specific, even by incorporating internal CFSE-labeled parental CHO cells as negative control, which showed no signal (Supplementary Fig. 1b). In some rare cases, the introduction of CD39 (and any other exogenous genes) may induce surface co-expression of downstream molecules, which could be picked up by monoclonal antibodies. Even though, our data is sufficient to demonstrate that this image cytometry-based high-throughput hybridoma screening method has relatively high accuracy and is able to significantly reduce the time and materials required for a screening assay.

Because hybridoma supernatants may contain multiple clones with varying titers, we decided to determine the sensitivity of image cytometry-based screening method. 256-fold dilution of the regular hybridoma supernatants can still be detected with our Celigo method. The fluorescence images and intensity scatter plots for different supernatant dilutions are shown in Fig. 3. Dilution-dependent intensity changes were clearly observed in the images as well as in the intensity plots. Moreover, dilution-dependent binding results are shown in Fig. 4, where Ab1 and Ab2 showed low binding when compared to the other 10 primary antibodies. We also noted that fluorescence intensities seemed to decrease when dilution factors were less than 10, which could be due to signal saturation causing the background to increase. The results showed that the Celigo Image Cytometer can be highly sensitive to detect low antibody binding signals.

One of the key characteristics of a promising antibody is its affinity coefficient $K_a$. We measured the $K_a$ by titrating different concentrations of an in-house purified anti-CD39 antibody and a commercial anti-CD39 antibody (5F2, IgG1; eBioscience), and then using the Celigo Image Cytometer to acquire and analyze fluorescent images at the same exposure time. The purified antibody has the same Fab as the commercial 5F2 (IgG1), except for the Fc region. The overlaid fluorescence images (AF594 or PE with Hoechst) at different antibody concentrations are shown in Fig. 5, where clear reduction of fluorescence signals were observed as the concentrations decreased. The dose response curves were plotted and the $K_a$ values were calculated in Graphpad Prism, which showed 8.8 nM and 3.0 nM for the two antibodies, respectively (Fig. 6). These results are indicative of the reliability of Celigo method, as there is only less than 1-log difference between $K_d$ values of these two antibodies measured by Celigo method, which can be considered as similar. The isotype antibody control exhibited no binding to CD39-expressing CHO cells.

In addition, the Celigo Image Cytometer was able to detect binding signals as low as ~30.50 ng/mL and ~4.88 ng/mL for the two antibodies, respectively. Based on our experience, this sensitivity is at least one log greater than flow cytometry. Thus, Celigo Image Cytometer not only can be high-throughput, but also sensitive enough to pick up low signals that will otherwise be missed by conventional methods. As the screening procedure can be accomplished within one day, which is a critical requirement in many studies (Burrin and Newman, 1991), researchers can subclone positive wells immediately to avoid the loss of important hybridoma clones.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated a novel hybridoma screening method using the Celigo Image Cytometer to accurately identify positive hits of hybridoma supernatant samples binding to the desired antigen on living cells. By optimizing a screening protocol, detecting fluorescence signals from low antibody concentration and measuring the $K_a$ binding affinity coefficient, we showed that the proposed method is highly sensitive, high-throughput, and versatile, as well as time-efficient. Future work may need to further increase the throughput by utilizing 384-well plates and perform multiplex screening for a mixture of target antigens expressed on different cell populations labeled with respective cell tracking dyes as we did with CFSE in this study. If the sensitivity is high enough, this image-based method might be possible to entirely obviate the lengthy hybridoma fusion step by directly detecting antibodies from single B cells, whose antibody genes can be rescued by single cell PCR. Our data suggest that Celigo Image Cytometry has great potential.
potential to facilitate antibody discovery research, especially in searching for high quality therapeutic antibodies against cancer.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2017.04.003.
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